

JUL 2 8 2009

Michael E. Toner, Esquire Bryan Cave, LLP 700 13<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005

RE:

MUR 6166

Republican Party of Minnesota

Coleman Minnesota Recount Committee Anthony Sutton, in his official capacity as

treasurer of both Committees

Dear Mr. Toner:

On February 5, 2009, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, the Republican Party of Minnesota, the Coleman Minnesota Recount Committee, and Anthony Sutton, in his official capacity as treasurer of both Committees, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). On July 14, 2009, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by your clients, that there is no reason to believe your clients violated the Act. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Jack Gould, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Mark Allen

**Assistant General Counsel** 

**Enclosure:** 

Factual and Legal Analyses

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
3	
4	Respondents: Republican Party of Minnesota and MUR 6166
5	Anthony Sutton, in his official capacity
6	as treasurer
7	
8	Coleman Minnesota Recount Committee and
9	Anthony Sutton, in his official capacity
10	as treasurer
11	
12	I. INTRODUCTION
13	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by
14	Brian Melendez, Chair, Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).
15	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
16	The Complaint alleges that the Republican National Lawyers Association ("RNLA")
17	made prohibited contributions to the Republican Party of Minnesota and the Coleman Minnesota
18	Recount Committee ("Respondent Committees"). The alleged prohibited contributions
19	purportedly came from funds raised by the RNLA through a solicitation posted on the RNLA's
20	website. The Complaint concludes that there is a "strong inference that the RNLA is supporting
21	Coleman's recount efforts with soft money." Complaint at 3. The Complaint further alleges that
22	the RNLA and the Respondent Committees failed to disclose the purported contributions in
23	violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). Id. at 4.
24	A. The Available Information Does Not Support the Allegation that the
25	RNLA Made Prohibited Contributions to the Respondent Committees
26	- -
27	The Complaint alleges that the RNLA, which is registered with the Internal Revenue
28	Service ("IRS") as a Section 527 organization and accepts corporate contributions, made

prohibited contributions to the Respondent Committees. The Respondent Committees deny

MUR 6166
Factual and Legal Analysis
Republican Party of Minnesota
Coleman Minnesota Recount Committee

1	receiving any contributions from the RNLA, and their FEC disclosure reports do not	indicate the
2	receipt of any such contributions through March 31, 2009. The most recent disclosur	re report the
3	RNLA filed with the IRS, covering the period through December 31, 2008, which ap	pears to pre-
4	date the RNLA solicitation, does not disclose any contributions to the Respondent C	ommittees.
5	More broadly, the RNLA's IRS disclosure reports covering the period 2000-2	2008 do not
6	disclose any contributions to a candidate for federal office or a political committee re	egistered
7	with the FEC. All of the RNLA's disclosed disbursements have been for staff salari	<b>CS</b> ,
8	contractors, and consultants. Accordingly, the available information does not suppo	rt the
9	Complaint's allegation that the RNLA has made prohibited contributions to the Resp	pondent
10	Committees.	
11 12	B. The Available Information Does Not Support the Allegation that the Respondent Committees Failed to Disclose Contributions	
13 14	The Complaint alleges that if the Respondent Committees received contribut	ions from the
15	RNLA, they would have to disclose those contributions, which they failed to do. As	discussed
16	above, the available information does not indicate that the RNLA made any contribu	rtions to the
17	Respondent Committees. Accordingly, the available information does not support t	his
18	allegation.	
19	III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>	
20	Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds there is no reason to believe	hat the

Republican Party of Minnesota, the Coleman Minnesota Recount Committee, and Anthony

Sutton, in his official capacity as treasurer of both committees, violated the Act in this matter.